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#### Abstract

The nature of the reactive intermediate in the palladium-catalyzed cycloaddition of (2-(acetoxymethyl)-3-allyl)trimethylsilane with electron-deficient olefins is probed. The initial formation of an electrophilic $\pi$-allylpalladium cationic complex is verified by alkylation studies. Desilylation then provides the nucleophilic species responsible for (1) cycloaddition, (2) aldehyde addition, and (3) desilylative alkylation. Deuterium-labeling studies verify that an unsymmetrical species that can equilibrate all three methylene groups is responsible. The intervention of a ( $\eta^{3}$-trimethylenemethane) palladium complex accommodates all the experimental observations. Some comments are offered to understand the differing results obtained herein compared to the cooligomerization of alkylidenecyclopropanes.


The ability to effect a cycloaddition of the type represented in eq 1 offers great promise for the synthesis of cyclopentanoid

natural products. ${ }^{1-4}$ These seemingly related reactions, however, exhibit substantial differences. For example, reaction 1A requires an electron-deficient olefin and proceeds best with a palladium( 0 ) catalyst that bears arylphosphine ligands, normally with a P:Pd ratio 4:1. ${ }^{1.2}$ In fact, palladium-bearing alkylphosphines do not catalyze this reaction. On the other hand, reaction 1B proceeds with electron-rich olefins such as norbornene and ethylene and proceeds best with alkylphosphines in which the $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratio is $1: 11^{3.4}$ Increasing this ratio can totally inhibit this reaction. With substituted complexes, quite different regiochemistry is observed (eq $2^{5}$ and $3^{4}$ ). While the overall transformation seemingly implies related pathways, individual characteristics imply a divergence.


The mechanistic discussion relating to the cooligomerization of methylenecyclopropanes centers on the involvement of tri-methylenemethane-palladium complexes 3 or possibly related
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Table I. Pd-Catalyzed Alkylations of $1^{a}$

| entry | nucleophile anion $f$ |  | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | yield of products ${ }^{e}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | Y |  | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | S | E | 14 | 72 |  |
| 2 | E | E | 13 | 73 |  |
| $3^{\text {b }}$ | S | S | 12.2 | 54 | 18 |
| $4^{c}$ | S | S | 12.2 |  | 17 |
| 5 | K | E | 10.7 |  | 44 |
| 6 | -( OC ) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})-$ | 5.2 |  | 74 |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed at room temperature in THF unless stated otherwise. ${ }^{b}$ This reaction was carried out in refluxing THF. ${ }^{c}$ This reaction was only partially completed. ${ }^{d}$ See ref 12. ${ }^{e}$ Isolated yields are based on $1 .{ }^{f} \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{K}=$ $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$.
metallocycles such as 4. ${ }^{3.4,6}$ Such a suggestion is supported by the known ability of synthesizing TMM-metal complexes from the metal-induced disrotatory ring opening of alkylidenecyclopropanes in the case of iron ${ }^{7}$ and molybdenum. ${ }^{8}$ While such complexes have not been isolated from a $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ reaction, a palladium chloride initiated disrotatory opening of methylenecyclopropanes leads to products that could derive from such complexes in which palladium is at a higher oxidation level. ${ }^{9}$ On the other hand, TMM-Pd complexes appear to be logical intermediates in the reaction of 1-a suggestion that conflicts with the above. In order to probe this apparent dichotomy, we initiated a study to define the nature of the reactive intermediate(s), if any, in the cycloaddition of 1 .
Silyl Acetate 1 as a Palladium(0) Substrate. Equation 4 summarizes the sequence that most likely would account for the formation of $\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ from 1 . In order to detect 5 , simple

allylic alkylations were performed. ${ }^{10.11}$ Reaction of the sodium

[^0]Scheme I. Formation of TMM-Pd Complex from 1

salt of carbon acids whose $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ 's range from 5 to 15 with 1 catalyzed by $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$ (6) proceeded at room temperature to reflux as summarized in Table I and eq 5. With the anions derived from

methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate and dimethyl malonate, only the expected product 7 was observed (entries 1 and 2). However, if more stabilized anions such as those derived from methyl acetoacetate and dimedone (entries 5 and 6) were employed, a desilylated alkylation product 8 was isolated instead. The anion derived from bis(phenylsulfonyl)methane (entries 3 and 4) appeared to be an unique case. Only 8 was obtained at room temperature, while both 7 and 8 (3:1) were observed at reflux. Further studies suggest that any nucleophile with a $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{s}}$ less than 12 will give desilylative alkylation product 8 . That 7 could not be a precursor of 8 was demonstrated by a control experiment. Compound 7 from entry 2 was recovered unchanged when it was resubjected to the same alkylation conditions. It is also known that allylsilanes react only with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ and not $\operatorname{Pd}(0){ }^{13}$ Thus, some intermediate must be undergoing the desilylation that leads to the formation of 8 .

A working hypothesis for rationalizing these observations is presented in Scheme I. The initial formation of the $\pi$-allylpalladium complex 5 is confirmed by its trapping with a very nucleophilic anion (such as malonate) to give 7. However, the lifetime of this intermediate is longer in the presence of less reactive nucleophiles ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}<12$ ). Under these conditions, 5 apparently suffers desilylation initiated by acetate anion to give the unique TMM-Pd complex 3. The latter is protonated by the excess conjugate acid of the starting nucleophile or its alkylated product to give methallylpalladium complex 9 . The $\pi$ complex then reacts with the anionic nucleophile to give the desilylated product 8. This scheme would account for the simple alkylation with malonate, a competition between alkylation and desilylation with the sulfone

[^1]system, and complete desilylation with dimedone.
Using triethylamine or DBU as the base instead of NaH gave desilylated product 8 in every single alkylation, even with methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate and dimethyl malonate. Apparently, the anion concentration generated by the amines is so small that 5 has time to desilylate to 3. Furthermore, if $\mathbf{1}$ is allowed to react with methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate under typical alkylation conditions but without the pregeneration of the anion by NaH , the desilylation alkylation product 8 was isolated in $70 \%$ yield (eq 6 ). This observation can best be explained by assuming proton

transfer from the active methylene compound to the TMM-Pd complex 3 to give 9 . The corresponding anion then attacks the methallyl complex 9 to give product 8 (similar to Scheme I); thus, the simple manipulation of the pH of the solution totally alters the reaction pathway.

To demonstrate the existence of such a proton-transfer step, we reacted 1 with deuterated sulfone ester 10 [ $95 \%$ dideuterated (by NMR) when prepared by exchange with $\mathrm{NaOCH}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ]. After preparative TLC, which removed any exchangeable deuterons, the monodeuterated product 11 ( $91 \%$ deuterated by mass spectrometry) was isolated in $80 \%$ yield. The position of deuteration was determined by NMR spectroscopy. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the allylic methyl signal at $\delta 1.66$ integrated to ca. 2.2 H. Proton-decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR indicated that $\mathrm{C}(1)$ at $\delta 21.89$ is a triplet with $J_{13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}=19.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, while all other carbons remain singlets. The product 11 is consistent with the mechanism proposed for the formation of desilylative alkylation product 8 (eq 7).


The above process is not limited to highly acidic nucleophiles ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}<14$ ). Reaction of 3 with acetophenone under similar conditions gave the product 1-phenyl-4-methyl-4-buten-1-one 12, albeit in very moderate yields, eq 8; 4-5 mol \% ( $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}, 2-3$

$\mathrm{mol} \%$ dppe, $2-3$ equiv of acetophenone, $22 \%$ in toluene, $15 \%$ in THF; reflux (dppe $=$ bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane)]. While ketones do not react with allylic acetates in the absence of a base, ${ }^{10,11}$ lithium enolates can be alkylated with allylic acetates in the presence of a $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalyst. ${ }^{14}$ The fact that desilylated alkylation product was obtained indicates that a base is formed in situ to generate the enolate of acetophenone and 9.

With benzalacetone, competition between cycloaddition and desilylation alkylation occurs (eq 9). The dependence of this
(14) Trost, B. M.; Keinan, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 2591. Fiaud, J. D.; Malleron, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1159.

competition on solvent polarity suggests that the charge separation accompanying the conjugate addition is greater than that accompanying enolate formation. Fortunately, enolate formation could be suppressed relative to cycloaddition by such a simple manipulation. The formation of desilylated alkylated products, for which desilylation must occur along the reaction pathway, in competition with cycloaddition strongly implicates $\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ as an intermediate.

Reaction of 1 with Aldehydes. The desilylative alkylation suggested the presence of an anionic intermediate in these reactions that exhibited basic properties. In order to test its nucleophilicity, the reaction with heptanal was investigated. A carbonyl adduct identified as 13 indeed formed (eq 10). Such carbonyl addition

is more facile than cycloaddition as demonstrated by the exclusive formation of 14 in the reaction of cinnamaldehyde (eq 11). Such products could be envisioned to arise by the direct condensation of 1 with the electrophilic aldehyde. ${ }^{15}$ However, such reactions are normally catalyzed by Lewis acids; it is difficult to conceive how $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalysts facilitate such a nucleophilic addition especially since the reaction of 1 with $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ has been shown to generate an electrophile! On the other hand, a nucleophilic TMM-PdL 2 species accounts nicely for these additions (eq 12). In this case,


3 behaves as a nucleophile toward the reactive aldehyde carbonyl group to give 15. The facts that alkoxides are relatively poor nucleophiles toward $\pi$-allylpalladium cationic complexes ${ }^{16}$ and the steric strain created in such a 5 -endo-trig ${ }^{17}$ process conspires to slow the cyclization of $\mathbf{1 5}$ to the methylenetetrahydrofuran. On the other hand, the presence of TMS-OAc permits transsilylation to 16 , which collapses by charge neutralization to the observed product. Subsequent labeling studies support this interpretation.

Labeling Studies. So far the three reactions of 1 that have been disclosed (eq 13-15) have as a unifying feature the requirement

for an anionic center that serves as a base and/or a nucleophile. Can the allylsilane unit serve this role as illustrated in eq 16 for

the cycloaddition reaction? Control experiments verify that all of these reactions require catalysis by $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ complexes. It is difficult to envision how a $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species can enhance the nucleophilic/basic properties of an allylsilane. In fact, the alkylation studies clearly demonstrate that interaction of 1 with $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ generates an electrophilic, not a nucleophilic, species! Failing 1 serving directly as such a nucleophilic/basic species, the question centers on what can play such a role. The fact that $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ initiates reactions of 1 by ionization of acetate and that the alkylation requires loss of silicon prior to product formation leaves little choice but to suggest that the reactive intermediate has a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PdL}_{n}$. Could these reactions involve methylenecyclopropane as an intermediate? Our attempts to detect this species by reacting 1 with $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ in the absence of any traps have failed. ${ }^{18}$ More importantly, as already pointed out, substantial differences exist between the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed reactions of methylenecyclopropane and those of 1. By elimination we are left with 3 or $\mathbf{4}$ as the most reasonable intermediates in these reactions.
Attempts to obtain direct evidence for this species have been frustrated by the failure to observe it by reaction of 1 with a stoichiometric amount of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$. Only an ill-defined white solid (a polymer?) results. Failing direct observation, indirect structural data was sought. For a TMM-PdL ${ }_{n}$ species, both a $\eta^{4}$ (i.e., 17) and $\eta^{3}$ (i.e., 18) complex are possible. The TMM-metal complexes that have been characterized to date possess $\eta^{4}$ structures. ${ }^{8,19}$ In 17a, two types of methylene groups are present- $C(1)$ and $C(2)$ form one set and $\mathrm{C}(3)$ the other. Rotation of the TMM unit

relative to Pd converts 17 a into $\mathbf{1 7 b}$-a process that results in equivalency of all three methylene groups. Assuming such a process occurs fast relative to any intermolecular process, ${ }^{20}$ structure 17 predicts that all three methylene groups of 1 would become equivalent in its reactions. The number of ligands on Pd has been assumed to be two in which palladium adopts an 18electron configuration. A $\eta^{4}$ species 19 in which palladium has a 16 -electron configuration is also possible. The arguments with respect to the symmetry of such a species are unchanged.
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[^2]Table II. Reaction of 20 with Acceptors ${ }^{a}$
entry trap
${ }^{a}$ Reactions are carried out with 1 equiv of $20,1.7-2.6$ equiv of the trap, $5-9 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}, 1.7-2.8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ dppe in refluxing THF. ${ }^{b}$ This reaction was performed in toluene at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{c}$ This reaction was carried out at room temperature. ${ }^{d}$ Isolated yields are based on amounts of 20 used. $e *$-carbon atom containing deuterium label. ${ }^{f}$ Deuterium content of products was determined by mass spectroscopy. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$.

Alternatively, the $\eta^{3}$ structure 18 also has two types of methylene groups-a set of two and a set of one. Symmetrization is achieved only if the Pd migrates among the various $\eta^{3}$ complexes $\mathbf{1 8 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 8 c}$. In fact, only one migration effects full equivalency of all methylene groups. In this case, it is not possible to, a priori, predict the rate of this interconversion. Thus, a species such as 18 may exhibit reactions in which one methylene group remains distinct or in which all three become equivalent. The full equivalency of the three methylene groups would then provide strong support for the presence of TMM-PdL ${ }_{n}$.

An additional possibility envisions a palladocycle as in $4 .^{6}$ Such a structure can be regarded as a $\sigma$ form related to the $\eta^{3}$ complex. Of the two structures, one tends to favor the $\pi$ form because of its resemblance to the known geometry of a number of $\pi$-allylpalladium complexes. ${ }^{21}$ The symmetry arguments for the $\sigma$ form exactly parallel those presented for the $\pi$ form 18. Thus, for simplicity in the further discussion, only the latter is considered.

Specific labeling of one of the three carbons of 1 would permit determination of the symmetry of the intermediate. For this purpose, we chose the dideuterated derivative 20 whose synthesis is outlined in Scheme II. This synthesis can also serve as an alternative to 1 . While somewhat lengthy with only a modest
(21) Mason, R.; Russell, D. R. Chem. Commun. 1967, 26. Smith, A. E. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 331.

Scheme II. Synthesis of Dideuterated Substrate 20
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${ }^{a} \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}, \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{THF}$; then dilute $\mathrm{HCl}, 44 \% .^{b}$ LDA, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $(\mathrm{HCHO})_{n}, 44 \% .{ }^{c} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ether, $92 \%$. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{DBU}$, ether, room temperature, $80 \%$. ${ }^{e}$ LAD, ether, $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \%$. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{AcCl}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \%$.
overall yield, only inexpensive reagents are needed and the reactions proceed readily on very large scale. ${ }^{22}$ NMR analysis of 10 revealed the virtual absence of the absorption of $\delta 4.4$; mass spectroscopy indicated it contained $91.4 \% d_{2}$ and $3.3 \% d_{1}$.

With the labeled substrate in hand, the three basic reactions of alkylation, cycloaddition, and carbonyl addition (eq 13-15) were explored. Table II presents the results.
The products were identified by comparison with the undeuterated materials. The distribution and relative amounts of deuterium labels were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(270$ and 100 MHz ) as well as ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 15.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ NMR spectroscopy. The adducts were found to retain $91-98 \%$ of the original deuterium content by mass spectroscopy.

The first system (entry 1) established the deuterium distribution for the simple alkylation of the $\pi$-allylpalladium species related to 20. Alkylation of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with methyl(phenylsulfonyl)acetate sodium salt under the usual conditions gave the product 21 with deuterium atoms located in two methylene groups (see Experimental Section). This distribution of label is expected because the two termini of the $\pi$-allyl system are equally susceptible to the attack by the nucleophilic anion (eq 17).


In the deprotonation/alkylation of 20 with methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate (entry 2), only two of the three carbons in $\mathbf{2 3}$ were found to be labeled. Since we have established that some $\mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ species is involved in this process, such a species must be capable of retaining differentiation of the carbon that originally bore silicon. Such an observation would be consistent with either 17 or 18 provided that the depicted equilibrations were slow relative to deprotonation as depicted in eq 18.


On the other hand, cycloaddition of $\mathbf{2 0}$ to coumarin (entry 3 ) produced the methylenecyclopentane 23, which showed an even distribution of the deuterium label to all three methylene carbons. In addition to verifying the loss of silicon prior to cycloaddition,
(22) Cf.: Somers, L. H.; Marans, N. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 1935. Hosomi, A.; Hashimoto, H.; Sakurai, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 951. Picard, J. P.; Ekouya, A.; Dunogues, J.; Duffant, N.; Calas, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 66 C39; 1975, 93, 51.

Table III. Charge Distribution in TMM-Pd $\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)_{2}$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| atom | $17-\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ | $\underline{18-P H_{3}}$ |
| Pd | +1.158 | +1.140 |
| C(1) | -0.364 | -0.340 |
| C(2) | +0.202 | +0.226 |
| C(3) | -0.364 | -0.340 |
| C(4) | -0.589 | -0.696 |
| P | +0.448 | +0.441 |

this result also demands that the $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ intermediate be capable of equilibrating all three methylene groups-again in accord with 17 or $18 .{ }^{23}$

Further experimental evidence in support of an unsymmetrical species that is capable of scrambling was obtained when the alkylation/cycloaddition reactions with benzalacetone in toluene were examined. As expected, cycloadduct 24 showed complete scrambling within experimental error. In the concurrent alkylation reaction, the product $\mathbf{2 5}$ also showed virtually complete scrambling. Thus, with a less acidic trap ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of benzalacetone $\sim 21$ and that of (phenylsulfonyl)acetate $\sim 14$ ), the rate of protonation of the initial TMM complex is slower than the equilibration of $\mathbf{1 7}$ or 18. Finally, the intermediacy of a TMM-Pd complex in carbonyl addition is established by the reaction of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with cinnamaldehyde (entry 5). The adduct 26 again has the deuterium distributed among the three methylene groups.

Theoretical Considerations. Calculations by both Albright ${ }^{20}$ and ourselves ${ }^{24}$ have considered structures 17 and 18 for the TMM-PdL ${ }_{2}$ system. Table III demonstrates that either geometry predicts that all three methylene carbons are negative with one substantially more so than the others. Thus, the complex is a zwitterion with the organic fragment at the anionic portion and the metal and its attendant ligands the cationic portion. Considering the orbital interaction diagram, Albright concluded that the barrier to rotation in 17 would be negligible ${ }^{20,25}$-i.e., interconversion of 17 a and 17 b would be fast. In essence, the argument derives from the degeneracy of the HOMO and LUMO of TMM and the ability of the highest filled metal orbital to interact to exactly the same extent with each. These calculations also suggest that $\mathbf{1 8}$ is more stable than 17. Both theoretical studies favor an unsymmetrical geometry and zwitterionic formulation for a $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ TMM-Pd complex.

## Discussion

The sequence as defined in Scheme I is strongly supported by the labeling studies reported herein. The initial generation of 5 is directly demonstrated by the simple alkylation studies. On the other hand, the question of whether 5 can also serve as the nucleophile responsible for reactions 13,14 , and 15 rather than a desilylated $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ species is more subtle. The deprotonation/alkylation suggests that such a desilylation must precede proton transfer. A saturated carbon-silicon bond is not normally susceptible to protonation except under extreme acid conditions. ${ }^{26}$ Allylsilanes undergo protodesilylation with allyl inversion (eq 19). ${ }^{26}$ Application of such a sequence to the case


[^3]Scheme III. Distal Approach Mechanism of Cycloaddition

of deprotonation/alkylation would predict a deuterium distribution to all three methylene groups of the TMM unit as in eq 20-a prediction not in accord with observation. On the other hand,

this observation fully conforms to the path outlined in eq 18. The further fact that 5 shows normal electrophilic properties of a $\pi$-allylpalladium species reinforces the above argument that 5 is not the nucleophilic species responsible for the subsequent steps.

With a compelling argument for the intermediacy of a $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PdL}_{n}$ species in these reactions, we are led to 4,17 , and 18 as possibilities. The labeling results demand that the species be unsymmetrical but capable of symmetrizing at a rate competitive with intermolecular processes. The $\eta^{4}$ structure 17 meets that requirement provided that rotation of the palladium fragment relative to the TMM unit is slow. Bonding considerations indicate the opposite, i.e., that this rotation should be fast. ${ }^{20}$ Thus, between 17 and 18,18 appears as the more likely candidate for the structure of the reactive intermediate. There is no experimental or theoretical basis to distinguish between 4 and 18 except the general bias of $\pi$ rather than $\sigma$ structures for palladium complexes. Thus, in the remainder of the discussion, we will utilize 18 as the structure but with the realization that 4 cannot be dismissed.

Two mechanisms account for the equilibration among 18, a, $b$, and $\mathbf{c}$. By analogy to the syn-anti interconversion of $\pi$-allylpalladium complexes, a $\pi \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \pi$ interconversion as illustrated in eq 21 provides a scrambling mechanism. ${ }^{27.28}$ An alternative

suggestion invokes a $\pi$-olefin diyl species as in eq 22. While

theoretical calculations indicate that such a $\eta^{2}$ diyl species lies only about 4 kcal higher in energy than the $\eta^{3}$ form $18,{ }^{20}$ simple $\pi$ complexation to an isolated olefin with palladium is generally less favorable than $\sigma$-bond formation. ${ }^{29}$ Considering the better

[^4]Scheme IV. Proximal Approach Mechanism of Cycloaddition


Scheme V. Modified Distal Approach Mechanism of Cycloaddition

precedence for the type of intermediate postulated in eq 21, we favor that pathway.

With 18 as the reactive intermediate, the mechanism of the cycloaddition is best described in terms of a two-stage process to accommodate the partial loss of stereochemistry of the acceptor during the course of the reaction. Schemes III and IV both take this observation into account. These two schemes differ fundamentally in the way the acceptor approaches the TMM unit. In Scheme III, the electrophile approaches the TMM unit on the face opposite the metal. The initial adduct 27a can cyclize directly, in which case olefin geometry is fully retained, or it can undergo a rotation to form 27 b prior to cyclization, in which case olefin geometry is partially or totally lost. The geometry for the cyclization step derives by analogy to nucleophilic attack of stabilized anions on $\pi$-allyl complexes. ${ }^{10,30}$ Geometrical constraints for such a 5 -endo-trig cyclization would suggest it is necessarily strained. In a modified version of the distal approach mechanism, complexation to palladium prior to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation can obviate this argument as shown in Scheme V.
Scheme IV directly invokes $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation via reductive elimination via 29 and/or 30. This proposal mainly suffers from the suggestion that an electrophilic acceptor initially attacks the electrophilic end of the TMM- $\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ complex as required in forming 28. The possibility that one of the ligands on the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalyst is already an acceptor so that 28 is the initial complex cannot be dismissed. On the other hand, phosphine or phosphite

[^5]ligands are required for the cycloaddition, which suggests that such phosphine(phosphite) palladium complexes are the required catalysts.

Initial attempts to probe the possibility of proceeding via 29 or $\mathbf{3 0}$ by examination of the stereochemistry utilizing $\mathbf{3 1}$ have been

thwarted by the unreactivity of $\mathbf{3 1}$ toward $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$. An alternative approach considered the effect that optically active ligands might have on a process as depicted in Scheme III as opposed to one in Scheme IV or V. In the latter cases, the proximity of a chiral ligand to the site of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation should induce some optical activity in the product ${ }^{31}$ whereas such considerations would predict much lower optical activity in the product for a route of the type represented in Scheme IV. ${ }^{32}$ Performing the cycloaddition of 1 with cyclopentenone in the presence of (R)-CAMP $(32)^{33}$ or $(+)$-DIOP (33) ${ }^{34}$ led to the cycloadduct 34 with $[\alpha]^{25}$ D $+7.05^{\circ}$ ( $c 4.4, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}} 0^{\circ}$ (c 3.3, ether), respectively.


Determination of the optical purity of 34 from the reaction utilizing (R)-CAMP by using Eu(hfbc) $)_{3}$-induced shifts of the related alcohol 35 revealed a $7 \pm 2 \%$ ee. Such a low degree of asymmetric induction is better in accord with Scheme III.

Such a mechanism not only rationalizes many of our observations but it also leads to some interesting conclusions. For example, the fact that 1-methoxybuten-3-one gives cycloadduct at all means that cyclization is faster than $\beta$-elimination (eq 24).


There exists a preference for such a two-step process over a concerted cycloaddition even though there is no orbital-symmetry reason precluding a concerted process.

The facility of the desilylation of 5 to give 3 suggests that the methallyl complex 36 should be relatively acidic (eq 25). The fact that 3 can enolize a ketone but not an ester suggests that 36 has a kinetic acidity of between 21 and 25 . The possibility that species such as $\mathbf{3 6}$ can be precursors of TMM-metal complexes
(31) For asymmetric induction in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond-coupling reactions via the metal, see: Hayashi, T. ACS Symp. Ser. 185, 1982, 177-186.
(32) Trost, B. M.; Strege, P. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1649. Trost, B. M.; Dietsche, T. J. Ibid. 1973, 95, 8200.
(33) Knowles, W. S.; Sabacky, M. J.; Vineyard, B. D. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1974, 132, 274. Knowles, W. S.; Sabacky, M. J.: Vineyard, B. D.; Weinkauff, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6429.
(34) Kagan, H. B.; Dang, T. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 6429.
(35) Nordberg, R., unpublished results in these laboratories.

is an intriguing phenomenon. The thermal extrusion of the elements of HCl from 37 lends credence to this suggestion. ${ }^{36}$


If the reactions of 1 involve $\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ complexes, what accounts for the differences with the Pd-catalyzed cycloadditions of alkylidenecyclopropanes. Two important features of the latter reaction that differ from the reactions of 1 are (1) trialkylphosphines are the preferred ligands for the alkylidenecyclopropane process whereas the cycloadditions of 1 totally fail with such ligands and (2) a ligand to Pd ratio of 1 is highly preferred for the cooligomerization process but ratios $>4: 1$ of $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ are the rule for the cycloaddition of $\mathbf{1}$. In addition to the cooligomerization of methylenecyclopropane, $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ complexes also initiate a deprotonation/alkylation (eq 26) ${ }^{37}$ and a carboxylation (eq 27) ${ }^{38}$ with methylenecyclopropanes. These two processes have the characteristics reminiscent of a zwitterion intermediate of the type related to 3.


A possible explanation is that in one case the $\eta^{3}$ intermediate 3 is involved whereas in the other case the $\sigma$ complex 4 intervenes. However, it is difficult to imagine that a high barrier exists for the interconversion of 3 and 4 as would be necessitated by the observed differences. Alternatively, two pathways may account for the reactions of the alkylidenecyclopropanes. A direct cross coupling of the highly reactive alkylidenecyclopropane with an olefin as illustrated in eq 28 rationalizes the successful reactions

with simple olefins like ethylene and strained olefins like norbornene. In particular, the dependence on the nature and number of the phosphine ligands supports an intermediate such as 38.

Discussion of the details involved in the conversion of 38 to product would be inappropriate at this time. Suffice it to say that bonding can be initiated between the olefin acceptor and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a})$, $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{b})$, or $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{c})$ of the methylenecyclopropane to account for the substitution pattern observed. If the reorganization of 38 is slow, a competing ring opening of $\mathbf{3 8}$ to the TMM- $\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ complex can occur. This transformation then places the reaction in the same manifold as the reactions of 1 . Thus, some of the cycloadditions with electron-poor olefins and the reactions outlined in eq 26 and 27 may proceed via the same pathway as 1. Such a duality of

[^6]mechanism available to the reactions of methylenecyclopropanes but not of 1 provides an integrated picture of this $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ surface.

While only the reaction of palladium has been examined, 1 holds promise as being a new entry into TMM-metal complexes. Up to the present, the methods include (1) the ring opening of alkylidenecyclopropanes, ${ }^{3,4,7,8}$ (2) the reduction of $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$-disubstituted precursors such as $39,{ }^{39}$ and (3) the single example of a thermal extrusion of the elements of HX from a methallyl complex (see eq 29). ${ }^{36}$ These methods frequency suffer from inaccessibility

of starting materials and/or low yields. The method developed herein represents an example of an approach utilizing a push-pull precursor (possesses both a nucleophilic and electrophilic center), which generates the TMM-metal species under very mild conditions (see eq 30 ). The lactone 40 has recently been reported to generate such complexes and, as such, also represents a push-pull precursor. ${ }^{38}$


The advantage of the palladium complex is its high reactivity. As such it has all the properties of a zwitterion as reproduced in 41 in which the subsequent reactions are initiated by the elec-

trofugal end. In addition to imposing a polar character to trimethylenemethane $42,{ }^{40}$ the metal dramatically improves the intermolecular reactions of this basic and utilitarian building block.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. All anhydrous reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under a positive pressure of dry nitrogen unless otherwise noted. Anhydrous solvents were transferred by flame-dried syringe. Solvents were distilled before use: hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA), dimethyl sulfoxide ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ), dimethylformamide (DMF), acetonitrile $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$, dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, carbon tetrachloride $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$, pyridine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, hexane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{14}$ ), tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA), and pentane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)$ from calcium hydride; diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME), 1,4-dioxane, toluene $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right)$, and benzene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ from sodium benzophenone ketyl; methanol from magnesium; chlorotrimethylsilane from tributylamine. All palladium(0) catalysts were transferred under a nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebag. The term in vacuo refers to the removal of solvent on a Büchi-Brinkman Rotoevaporator at water-aspirator pressure; this is followed by evacuation of the flask $(\sim 0.1 \mathrm{mmHg})$ for $15-30 \mathrm{~min}$ [except for volatile compounds (bp $<200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )]. Silica gel (Merck 60-PF 254) was used for analytical and all preparative ( 1.5 mm thick) thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The preparative TLC plates were activated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before use. Plastic-support precoated (Merck Silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) plates were also employed. Typical loadings on preparative plates were up to 80 mg on $20 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 80-200 \mathrm{mg}$ on $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $200-450 \mathrm{mg}$ on $20 \times$

[^7]| $\mathrm{XCH}_{2} \mathrm{Y}$, entry; compound; equiv | NaH , equiv | 1, weight, mg; mmol | $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$, weight, mg; mol \%b | THF, volume, mL; time, h | product, compound(s); weight, mg; yield, ${ }^{b} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1; methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate; 1.5 | 1.4 | 500; 2.6 | 250; 8.5 | 18;41 | 7; 630;72 |
| 2; same as $1 ; 2.3$ | none | 1.2 | 76;6.5 | 5.5;1.5 | 8; 220; 70 |
| 3 ; bis(phenylsulfonyl)methane; 1.2, 1.5 |  | 270; 1.4 | 290; 17 | 10; $20^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,312,53 \\ 8,90,19 \end{array}$ |
| 4; same as $3 ; 1.0,1.2$ |  | 292; 1.5 | 173;10 | 10;48 | 7; 95; $17^{d}$ |
| 5; methyl acetoacetate; 1.4 | 1.1 | 287; 1.5 | 165; 9.0 | 7; 23 | 8; $100,44^{e}$ |
| 6; dimedone; 1.5, 1.3 f |  | 280; 1.5 | 160; 9.3 | 13;63 | 8; 200; 74 |
| 7; methyl (phenylsulfonyl)dideuterioacetate; ${ }^{\text {2,2 }}$ | none | 211; 1.1 | 81; 6.2 | 5.5; 24 | 11; 245; 80 |
| 8; acetophenone; 2.6 | none | 254; 1.4 | 70; 4.5 | 4.5;72 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 12;43; $15^{h}$ |
| 9; acetophenone; 2.3 | none | 464; 2.4 | 114;4.2 | 7; $84^{g}$ | 12; $87 ; 22^{h}$ |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were conducted at room temperature unless stated otherwise. ${ }^{b}$ Relative to 1 . ${ }^{c}$ This reaction was performed in refluxing THF. ${ }^{d}$ Reaction was only partly completed. $e$ A small amount of bis(alkylated) product was also observed. fire dideuterated starting material was prepared by stirring the methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate with catalytic NaOMe and MeOD for 5 days at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The workup was performed in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and without any chromatographic purification. The product was $95 \%$ dideuterated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{g}$ This reaction was performed in refluxing toluene. ${ }^{h}$ Other volatile products were also observed.

40 cm . Column chromatography was accomplished with Grace (grade 62, 60-200 mesh) silica gel. Removal of the material from silica gel was performed by extraction/washing with ethyl acetate or ether (for volatile products). High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed analytically on a Waters M6000 instrument with a porasil silica gel column ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Waters $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{n} 27477$ ) unless stated otherwise. Preparative HPLC was performed on a Waters Prep 500 instrument with a selfpacked, semiprep ( $2.5 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}, \mu$ Porasil, $37-75 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 50-400 \mathrm{mg}$ ) silica gel column or a Prepak-500 silica gel column ( $75 \mu, 1-20 \mathrm{~g}$ ). $R_{\mathrm{v}}$ refers to retention volume ( $\mathrm{CV}=$ column volume). Flash chromatography was performed according to the method reported by Still. ${ }^{41}$ Melting points were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover apparatus in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Gas chromatography was performed on a Varian Aerograph Model 90P ( $R_{\mathrm{t}}=$ retention time). Column A refers to a $8 \mathrm{ft} \times$ $3 / 8$ in. column packed with $10 \%$ DC 710 on Chromosorb W. Column B refers to a $12 \mathrm{ft} \times 0.25 \mathrm{in}$. column packed with $15 \%$ Carbowax 20 M on Chromosorb W.

Proton NMR spectra were determined in chloroform- $d$ (unless stated otherwise) on a Jeolco MH-100 ( 100 MHz ) instrument or a Bruker WH-270 ( 270 MHz ) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units, parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane Me $\mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$. Splitting patterns are designated as s , singlet; d , doublet; t , triplet; q , quartet; br, broad. Coupling constants are reported in hertz ( Hz ). Infrared spectra (IR) were determined in the indicated solvent in $1-\mathrm{mm}$ thick solution cells on a Perkin-Elmer 267 or a Beckman Acculab 7 instrument and are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Carbon ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) NMR spectra were determined on a Jeolco FX-60 (15.4 MHz) or a Jeolco FX-200 (50.1 MHz ) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units and splitting patterns are designated as with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Deuterium $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ NMR spectra were determined on a Varian XL-100 $(15.36 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units. Mass spectra were obtained on an AEI-902 instrument at an ionizing current of 98 mA and an ionizing voltage of 70 eV unless stated otherwise. Microanalyses were performed by Spang Microanalytical Laboratories, Eagle Harbor, MI.

General Procedure for Pd-Catalyzed Alkylation of 1. Synthesis of Methyl 2-(Methoxycarbonyl)-4-methylene-5-(trimethylsilyl)-1-pentanoate (7, $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) (Table IV). To a suspension of sodium hydride [ $50 \%$ oil dispersion, washed with hexane ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $78 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ] in 7 mL of THF was added dimethyl malonate ( $271 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the initial hydrogen evolution, the reaction was stirred for 20 min . (2-(Acetoxymethyl)-3-allyl)trimethylsilane (1) ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The orange solution was stirred at room temperature for 25 h . The cloudy mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica gel and eluted with ether ( 100 mL ). The eluent was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC to yield 280 mg ( $73 \%$ based on 1) of the title compound: $R_{f} 0.55$ ( $1: 2$ ether/pentane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 4.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 15 MHz ) $\delta 168.8,143.2,108.2,51.8,50.0,36.4,26.5,-1.9$; IR (neat) $2955,1755,1740,1640,1438,1249,1150,1030,855 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) \mathrm{M}^{+} 258$ (14), 126 (11), 123 (14), 122 (54), 95 (43), 94 (40), 89 (38), 75 (16), 74 (11), 73 (100), 59 (35), 45 (26), 44 (14). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: 258.1281$. Found: 258.1288 .
(41) Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.

Methyl 2-(Phenylsulfonyl)-4-methylene-5-(trimethylsilyl)-1-pentanoate (7, X $=\mathbf{S O}_{2} \mathbf{P H} ; \mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ): TLC $R_{f} 0.7$ (3:3:1 ether/pentane/ chloroform); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{X}$ of $\mathrm{ABX}, J=8.4$, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{AB}$ of $\mathrm{ABX}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}$ of AB , $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}$ of $\mathrm{AB}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3015,2960,1743,1639,1450,1439,1326,1250,1203,1148$, $1083,850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) 127(100), 126(11), 125(10)$, 95 (62), 77 (31), 67 (34), 55 (22), 43 (12), 41 (18). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SSi}: 340.1158$. Found: 340.1156 .

1,1-Bis(phenylsulfonyl)-3-methylene-4-(trimethylsilyl)butane (7, X = $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{S O}_{2} \mathbf{P h}$ ): TLC $R_{f} 0.35-0.50$ ( $1: 2$ ethyl acetate/hexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}),-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3003,2948,1640$, $1448,1330,1249,1150,1076,848 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) 281$ (14), 209 (78), 135 (25), 125 (30), 86 (22), 85 (57), 84 (41), 83 (100), 78 (11), 77 (37), 73 (56), 67 (15), 47 (21), 36 (11). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: 422.1035$. Found: 422.1042 .

1,1-Bis(phenylsulfonyl)-3-methylenebutane (8, $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{S O}_{2} \mathbf{P h}$ ): TLC $R_{f} 0.23-0.35$ ( $1: 2$ ethyl acetate/hexane); mp $98-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (270 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.61 (br s, 3 H ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3005,1655,1447,1329,1150,1129,1072$, $902 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) 210(8), 209(100), 125(8), 78(5)$, 77 (14), 67 (7), 44 (7). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : 350.0642. Found: 350.0645.

Methyl 2-Acetyl-4-methyl-5-pentenoate (8, $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{Y}=$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ): TLC $R_{f} 0.4-0.5$ (1:2 ether/pentane). This comnound was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR only ( 100 MHz ): $\delta 4.72$ ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.64 ( br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.18 (s, 3 H), 1.77 (br s, 3 H ).

5,5-Dimethyl-2-isopropenyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione (8, X $=\mathbf{Y}=$ $\left.-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right)$ : TLC $R_{f} 0.2$ (2:1 ether/pentane); mp $125-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 6.56$ (br s, 1 H ), 4.95 (br s, 1 H ), 4.91 (br s, 1 H), 3.17 (br s, 2 H ), 2.34 (br s, 2 H ), 2.27 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.71 (br s, 3 H ), 1.08 (s, 6 H ); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $3600-3100,2960,1733,1705$, $1618,1374,1203,1152,1052,898 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) \mathrm{M}^{+}$ 194 (20), 179 (17), 126 (20), 119 (29), 111 (39), 83 (36), 57 (27), 56 (28), 55 (37), 45 (19), 44 (18), 43 (100), 42 (17), 41 (51), 39 (23). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 194.1302. Found: 194.1307.

Methyl 2-(Phenylsulfonyl)-4-methylenepentanoate (8, X = SO2 $\mathbf{X h}$; $\mathbf{Y}$ $=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). A solution of (2-(acetoxymethyl)-3-allyl)trimethylsilane (1) ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium ( 88 mg , 0.08 mmol ), methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate ( $580 \mathrm{mg}, 2.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5.5 mL of THF was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The solution was concentrated under nitrogen and purified by preparative TLC to give 220 mg ( $70 \%$ ) of the title compound: $R_{f} 0.51$ ( $2: 2: 1$ ether/pentane/chloroform); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80$ (br s, 1 H ), 4.68 (br s, 1 H ), 4.17 (X of ABX, $J=9.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{AB}$ of $\mathrm{ABX}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; IR (neat) 3085, 2960, 1748, 1660, 1450, 1327, 1148, 1086, 906, 725, $690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) 128(21), 127$ (100), 126 (41), 125 (30), 111 (24), 96 (18), 95 (85), 85 (17), 78, (24), 77 (47), 68 (11), 67 (66), 59 (27), 55 (49), 41 (30). Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: 268.0765$. Found: 268.0761.

Table V. Reaction of 20 with TMM-Traps-Experimental Details

| entry; trap; equiv | 20, weight, mg; mmol | $\begin{gathered} \left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd} \\ \text { weight, mg; } \\ \text { mol } \% \end{gathered}$ | dppe, weight, mg; mol \% | ```solvent,}\mp@subsup{}{}{c volume, mL; time, h``` | product(s), weight, mg; yield, \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1; methyl (phenylsulfonyl)sodioacetate; 1.7 | 195; 1.0 | 92; 7.4 | 10; 2.4 | THF; 7; 5 | 21; 259; 74 |
| 2; methyl (phenylsulfonyl)acetate; 1.7 | 278; 1.5 | 87; 5.1 | 10; 1.7 | THF; 7; $21{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 22; 360;90 |
| $3 ; b$ coumarin; 1.9 | 300; 1.6 | 170; 9.2 | 10; 1.6 | THF; 7; 9 | 23, 166, 52\% |
| $4 ;{ }^{\text {b }}$ benzalacetone; 2.6 | 357; 1.9 | 125; 5.8 | 15;2.0 | toluene; 7; 20 | $24,25,(1: 2) ;^{e}$ |
| $5 ;{ }^{\text {b }}$ cinnamyl aldehyde; 2.6 | 213; 1.1 | 120; 9.2 | 10; 2.7 | THF; 6; 6 | 26; 208;8 |

${ }^{a}$ See general procedure for alkylation of 1 for reaction conditions of this run. ${ }^{b}$ See general procedure for cycloadditions for reaction conditions of these experiments in ref $35 .{ }^{c}$ All reactions were performed at refluxing temperature of the solvent unless stated otherwise.
${ }^{d}$ This run was performed at room temperature. ${ }^{e}$ Products were separated by VPC, see ref 1.

Methy1 2-(Phenylsulfonyl)-5-deuterio-4-methylenepentanoate (11): same procedure as above (see Table IV, entry 7 for detail); ${ }^{1}$ H NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.80-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.66 (br s, 2.2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (15 MHz) $\delta 165.9,139.6,137.2$, $134.3,129.2,129.1,113.5,69.50,52.75,34.41,21.89(\mathrm{t}, J=19.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) 129(17), 128(76), 127(30), 97(11), 96$ (39), 95 (16), 78 (16), 77 (64), 69 (33), 68 (23), 67 (12), 59 (11), 56 (40), 55 (29), 51 (35), 44 (100), 43 (26). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{DO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: 269.0826$. Found: $269.0836,91 \% d_{1}$.

Preparation of (E)-2-(Acetoxymethyl)-6-phenyl-4-(trimethylsiloxy)1,5 -hexadiene (14). A solution of $304 \mathrm{mg}(1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $1,290 \mathrm{mg}$ ( 2.2 $\mathrm{mmol})$ of cinnamaldehyde, $147 \mathrm{mg}(7.8 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$, and 21 mg ( $3.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) of dppe in 6 mL of THF was refluxed for 3 h . After TLC indicated complete consumption of 1 , the reaction was concentrated under a stream of air. Preparative TLC of the residue gave 225 mg ( $45 \%$ ) of the title product: TLC $R_{f} 0.32$ ( $1: 10$ ether/pentane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.36-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}$ of $\mathrm{d}, J=16,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}$ of AB , $J=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}$ of $\mathrm{AB}, J=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~g}$ of d, $J=6.5$, $1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $3030,2960,1735,1655,1378,1053,972,850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, m/e (\%) 205.5 (100), 186 (11), 155 (14), 131 (20), 129 (23), 128 (12), 117 (27), 115 (18), 91 (32), 82 (13), 77 (13), 75 (38), 74 (15), 73 (72), 55 (17), 54 (16). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}: 318.1655$. Found: 318.1643.

Preparation of 1-Acetoxy-2-methylene-4-(trimethylsiloxy)decane (13). A solution of $308 \mathrm{mg}(2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $n$-heptanal, $207 \mathrm{mg}(1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $1,103 \mathrm{mg}(8 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$, and $14 \mathrm{mg}(3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ of dppe in 4.5 mL of THF was refluxed for 2.5 h . After workup as above, there was obtained $90 \mathrm{mg}(27 \%)$ of the titled product: TLC $R_{f} 0.4$ ( $1: 10$ ether/ pentane) ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 3.76 (quintet, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2920,2850,1730,1645,1375,1050,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}(\%) 189(11), 187(100), 155(16), 129(10), 117(38), 103$ (40), 97 (22), $95(16), 83(30), 81(20), 75(30), 74(20), 73(76), 72(33)$, 71 (10), 70 (14), 69 (18), 57 (19), 55 (54), 54 (15). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 300.2112 . Found: 300.2112 .

Preparation of Ethyl 3-(Trimethylsilyl)propionate. ${ }^{22}$ A flame-dried 2-L three-necked flask equipped with a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ addition funnel, nitrogen inlet, thermometer, and mechanical stirrer was charged with lithium (ca. $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1153 \mathrm{mmol}$, in the form of small pieces of ribbon). THF ( 550 mL ) was added, and the reaction was rigorously stirred for 1 h under argon. Chlorotrimethylsilane ( $140 \mathrm{~mL}, 1109 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added dropwise at ice-bath temperature. The mixture was stirred for 45 min (the lithium ribbons took on a shiny appearance). A solution of ethyl acrylate ( 42 $\mathrm{g}, 420 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of THF was then added over 5 h , with the temperature of the reaction maintained between 4 and $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cloudy mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 40 h . The reaction was allowed to settle, and the supernatant liquid was cannulated into a $1-\mathrm{L}$ flask. The solid residue (lithium chloride and unreacted lithium) was rinsed with ether. The combined organic solution was concentrated in vacuo. Dilute hydrochloric acid ( $\sim 3 \%, 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred vigorously for 45 min . Ether ( 200 mL ) was then added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( 100 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue (ca. 90 g ) distilled via a Vigreux column to give 45 g of a light yellow liquid (bp $\left.90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{mmHg})\right)$. Redistillation gave $36.5 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$ of the title compound (bp $90-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(16 \mathrm{mmHg})$ ). The NMR spectrum was identical with that of an authentic sample.

Preparation of Ethyl 2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate. To a solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $130 \mathrm{~mL}, 195 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 600 mL of THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added diisopropylamine ( $30 \mathrm{~mL}, 214 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).

Ethyl 2-(trimethylsilyl)propionate ( $30 \mathrm{~g}, 172 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 150 mL of THF was added dropwise over 45 min , and the solution was stirred for an additional 45 min . Solid paraformaldehyde ( $43.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added all at once, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature with mechanical stirring. After 14 h , the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 300 mL of saturated disodium monohydrogen phosphate was added. The mixture was again stirred for 15 min after which 200 mL of ether was introduced. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( 300 mL ), and the combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and the residue distilled under reduced pressure to give 20 g of a yellow oil (bp $85-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(0.6-1.5 \mathrm{mmHg})$ ). Redistillation gave 15.6 g (44\%) of the title compound (bp 85-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.6-0.7 \mathrm{mmHg})$ ). An analytical sample was obtained by preparative VPC: $R_{\mathrm{t}} 8.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (column A, $T=140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, flow rate $=60 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (A of ABX, $J=10.8,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ (B of ABX, $J=10.8,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.65 (X of ABS, m, 1 H ), 2.57-2.44 (br s, 1 H), 1.29. (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.90(\mathrm{~d}$ of d, $J=14.7,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65(\mathrm{~d}$ of $\mathrm{d}, J=14.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; IR (neat) 3460 , 2960, 1738, 1253, $1185,847 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%)(30 \mathrm{eV}) 173$ (3), 143 (2), 103 (3.5), 85 (2), 77 (3.5), 76 (2.9), 75 (67), 73 (100), 69 (8), 68 (4), 66 (2), 59 (6), 58 (4), 57 (3), 56 (3), 55 (8), 53 (3), 47 (3). Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 52.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.87$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 59.90 ; \mathrm{H}$, 9.68.

Preparation of Ethyl 2-(((Methylsulfonyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate. To a solution of ethyl 1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl) propionate ( $14.4 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $17 \mathrm{~mL}, 120$ mmol ) in 100 mL of ether at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added methanesulfonyl chloride ( $6 \mathrm{~mL}, 78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise over 5 min . The cloudy mixture was stirred for 1 h and diluted with 400 mL of ether. The organic layer was washed with saturated copper sulfate ( 200 mL ) and water ( 50 mL ) and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solution was concentrated in vacuo to yield $18.2 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$ of the desired mesylate. It was carried on to the next step without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 4.42-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}$ of $\mathrm{d}, J=14.8,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{~d}$ of d$, J=14.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ); IR (neat) $2950,1738,1358,1257,1175,835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) 267$ (12), 209 (7), 187 (21), 173 (18), 171 (8), 155 (5), 154 (5), 153 (78), 143 (40), 129 (14), 114 (7), 103 (5), 101 (5), 99 (11), 86 (13), 75 (31), 74 (6), 73 (100), 69 (51), 59 (6), 55 (24), 41 (41), 40 (43). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}$ : 282.0951 . Found: 282.0953.

Preparation of Ethyl 2-Methylene-3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate. 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-6-ene ( $13 \mathrm{~mL}, 86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the above mesylate ( $18.2 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 160 mL of ether at 16 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 min . The white cloudy reaction was stirred for 12 $h$ at room temperature, diluted with ether ( 500 mL ), washed with saturated copper sulfate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and water ( 100 mL ), and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue distilled to give $10.0 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of the title compound as a light yellow liquid: bp $56-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3.5-4.0 \mathrm{mmHg}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 5.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; IR (neat) $2945,1720,1623,1323,1302$, 1253, 1187, $1108,852 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) \mathrm{M}^{+} 186(2), 171$ (5), 143 (10), 131 (4), 119 (3), 117 (3), 85 (4), 77 (12), 75 (31), 74 (5), 73 (100), 69 (29), 68 (20), $59(5), 55(4), 47(3), 45(16), 44(62), 43$ (13), 41 (7). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ : 186.1071. Found: 186.1061.

Preparation of 2-Methylene-3-(trimethylsilyl)-1,1-dideuteriopropan-1ol. A solution of ethyl 2-methylene-3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate $(5.8 \mathrm{~g}$, 32 mmol ) in 30 mL of ether was added dropwise over 45 min to a stirring suspension of lithium aluminum deuteride ( $99 \%$ deuteriation, $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22$ mmol ) in 30 mL of ether at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 45 min of stirring, the reaction was quenched by sequential addition of water ( 1 mL ), $15 \%$ sodium hydroxide ( 1 mL ), and water ( 1 mL ). The cloudy mixture was diluted with 140 mL of ether and dried over magnesium sulfate. The
solution was concentrated in vacuo carefully, and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC ( $1: 2$ ether/pentane) to give $3.55 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17$ (br s, 1 H ), 1.53 (m, 2 H ), $0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; IR (neat) $3340,2955,1640,1250,1164,1086,857 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%)$ $\mathrm{M}^{+} 146$ (2.3), 131 (16), 76 (85), 75 (100), 74 (8), 73 (92), 61 (5), 59 (6), 56 (57), 55 (15), 47 (6), 45 (34), 44 (9), 43 (27), 42 (6), 41 (13), 40 (17), 39 (14). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ : 146.1092. Found: 146.1091

Preparation of 1-Acetoxy-1,1-dideuterio-2-((trimethylsilyl) methyl)-2 propene (20) (Table V). Acetyl chloride ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of the dideuterated alcohol ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( $9.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 118 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 30 mL of dichloromethane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ The white cloudy mixture was stirred for 30 min and diluted with ether washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate, saturated $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$, and water and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give 3.8 g of residue. It was purified by preparative HPLC (pentane), and the desired product was further purified by Kugelrohr distillation. The title compound ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ) was obtained as a colorless oil: bp $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(4-5 \mathrm{mmHg}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ (br s, 1 H ), 2.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.55 (br s, 2 H ), 0.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); IR (neat) 2955, 1745, 1643, 1370, 1252, 1164, $858 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) \mathrm{M}^{+}$ 188 (9), 173 (6), 146 (18), 145 (34), 131 (30), 129 (6), 118 (12), 117 (63), 113 (8), 91 (7), 83 (5), 77 (13), 76 (32), 75 (90), 74 (53), 73 (98), 72 (16), 71 (6), 70 (8), 69 (9), 62 (6), 61 (26), 60 (17), 59 (33), 58 (22), 57 (37), 56 (84), 55 (46), 54 (20), 53 (10), 47 (23), 46 (15), 45 (97). Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ : 188.1197 . Found: $188.1198 ; 91.4 \% d_{2}, 3.3 \%$ $d_{1}$.

21: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 0.51 \mathrm{H})$, $4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 0.51 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 0.88 \mathrm{H}), 1.58$ (br s, 2 H ), 0.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 15.36 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 4.52$ (br s, 1.00 D), 2.46 (br s, 1.13 D ); mass spectrum, $m / e(\%)(30 \mathrm{eV}) \mathrm{M}^{+} 343(1.2)$, 156 (98), 145 (12), 126 (11), 125 (100), 110 (11), 97 (13), 78 (17), 77 (86), 75 (18), 73 (58), 72 (22), 69 (23), 59 (52), 57 (17), 51 (24). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SSi}$ : 342.1284. Found: 342.1276; $97.4 d_{2}, 13.8 \% d_{1}$

22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.96-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 0.05$ $\mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 0.50 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 0.85 \mathrm{H}), 1.76$ (s, 3 H); ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 15.36 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) $\delta 4.56$ (br s, 1.00 D ), 2.45 (br s, 1.17 D ); mass spectrum, $m / e(\%)(30 \mathrm{eV}) \mathrm{M}^{+} 156$ (19), 129 (100) 125 (21), 97 (26), 77 (22). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: 270.0891$. Found: 270.0882; $82.1 \% d_{2}, 9.1 \% d_{1}$

23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 7.27-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1.30 \mathrm{H})$, 3.43 (d of t $, J=9.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.14 (t of d, $J=7.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.03 (d of quintet, $J=17.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.67 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.85-2.65 (m, 1.33 H), 2.39 (br d of d, $J=16.0,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.65 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 15.36 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ 4.01 (br s, 1.00 D ), 2.91-2.27 (m, 2.01 D ); mass spectrum, $m / e(\%) \mathrm{M}^{+}$

202 (100), 201 (9), 174 (16), 173 (10), 159 (6), 146 (8), 131 (7). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 202.0960. Found: 202.0963; 89.8\% $d_{2}, 5.9 \% d_{1}$
24: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1.3 \mathrm{H})$ $3.20-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 15.36 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.88$ (br s, 1.00 D), 2.40-2.70 (m, 2.31 D ); mass spectrum, $m / e(\%)(30 \mathrm{eV})$ 200 (48), 157 (100), 156 (58), 129 (28), 127 (53), 109 (22), 95 (26), 43 (48). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 202.1323$. Found: 202.1288

25: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63$ (br s, 1.41 H$), 2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2.31 \mathrm{H}), 2.28$ (m, 1.45 H$), 1.78$ (br s, 2.57 H ); ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 15.36 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 4.62$ (br s, 1.00 D ), 2.23 (br s, 1.01 D ), $1.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1.11 \mathrm{D})$; mass spectrum, $m / e(\%)(30 \mathrm{eV}) 132(20)$, 131 (100), 104 (14), 103 (86), 77 (41), 43 (13). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 202.1323. Found: $202.1328 ; 89.2 \% d_{2}, 6.2 \% d_{1}$

26: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.0$ (br s, 5 H$), 6.5-6.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.92 (s, 3 H ), $0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 15.36 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.2-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2.4 \mathrm{D}$ ), 2.3 (br s, 1.0 D ).
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#### Abstract

H -jump techniques in chemical relaxation have been used to establish the general mechanism of the structural transformations of thiamine in neutral and mildly basic ( $\mathrm{pH}<11$ ) aqueous media. All the rate and equilibrium constants involved in this mechanism are measured and reported here for the first time. The formation of a tetrahedral pseudobase from thiamine occurs in neutral and acidic media by hydration with a second-order rate constant $k_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}=1.15 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and an equilibrium constant $K_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1.95 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$ and in basic media by rate-limiting hydroxyl addition with a second-order rate constant $k_{\mathrm{OH}}=19.6 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The ring opening of the pseudobase is very fast and is always base promoted with a second-order rate constant $k_{\mathrm{T}}=6.75 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an equilibrium constant $K_{\mathrm{T}}=1.15 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pseudobase ring opens probably through basic deprotonation. The pseudobase, which had not been previously detected in the case of thiamine, is isolated kinetically and its UV spectrum is measured point by point. It exists in aqueous solutions between pH 9.2 and 9.4 , to the extent of $16 \%$ of the overall thiamine concentration.


Ever since Williams' discovery of the structure of thiamine, the antiberiberi vitamin $B_{1}$, its structural transformations in aqueous
media ${ }^{1-4}$ and its vitally important action in the decarboxylation of pyruvates ${ }^{5-8}$ have been extensively studied. In neutral and
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